

Kimble Neighbourhood Plan Working Group Meeting: Minutes

Date 15/11/2017

Time: 7.30 pm

Venue: Stewart Hall Committee Room

Working Group members: Alun Jones (AJ), Delia Burton (DB), Gerald Redding (GR) , Iona Mackinnon (IM), James Good (JG), James Cripps (JC) , Robert Martin (RM), Roger Howgate (RH), Sue Howgate (SH), Thomas Dixon (TD), Tim Shirra (TS), Zeena Shirra (ZS)

Apologies: Leo Todd (LT), John Austin (JA), David Williams (DW)

Agenda

1. Minutes of last meeting: approved
2. Review of Survey feedback analysis, ongoing actions:
Decision made to postpone discussion of analysis results until next meeting, with Leo and Sheila
Thanks duly noted and recorded for the amount of work and effort that went into the thorough analysis, particularly by Sheila.
3. Website
Sue indicated she now can receive emailed enquiries via the website and has received one already.
Further discussion to be had next meeting when Leo is at the meeting.
4. Review/discussion of scenarios from RCOH, ongoing actions
Regarding the 'Setting the scene' section of the document:
 - SH indicated a point on terminology: that a number of people refer to the 'village green' (as opposed the "children's play area" outside the pub) as being a kind of village centre, which is not reflected in the report
 - SH commented the points on broadband were now not entirely accurate as there had been recent developments to improve provision of broadband in places.
 - Where the school is mentioned, SH wanted it noted that in relation to the proposed expansion from infant to primary school Bucks County Council and the Governors are working towards provision of off-road parking for staff and visitors aiming to improve traffic flow. It is also anticipated that expansion will reduce parents' car travel with siblings attending the same school.

Regarding the seven scenarios put forward in the OH report: there was general discussion of these before moving to consider some of the pros and cons of each scenarios and the sites indicated therein. **Action:** It was agreed that ZS would tabulate the points made into a separate matrix/spreadsheet in order to facilitate analysis.

Points from the general discussion:

- TD indicated his view that some of the desired benefits expressed in survey responses, such as better paths may only be deliverable viably through larger developments
- RH questioned the merits of simply accepting site recommendations put forward in the WDC's plan so far, and that other sites not indicated there may well be worth consideration.
- It was agreed that it would be reasonable for the working group to review the sites, including from the perspective of landscape sensitivity, and to conduct our own assessments from the vantage points of Coombe Hill, Beacon Hill and Whiteleaf Hill in order to confirm,

supplement or provide an alternative perspective to that made by Wycombe. **Action:** JG to confirm suggested date for review

- A number of sites were considered for further assessment and JG to advise Homer Oneil to prepare an alternative sites plan for review
5. Update and any actions on grant funding from My Community: DB confirmed that the grant funding has been released to us, and that she has created an expenses form for WG members to use
 6. Update on Project schedule/plan: will now be using OH's schedule – for discussion at next meeting.
 7. Confirmation of next meeting date: **Dec. 20th**

 8. A.O.B.